SINGLE BENCH

(2) CF/100/06 (4)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, CHHATTISTGARH at BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 4614 / 2006.

PETITIONER : **Rahul Kumar Bagde**
S/o Late Shri. J.T.Bagde
Aged around 36 years,
Unemployee.
Residing at : Qr. No.169-A,
Ruabandha Sector,
BHILAI - 490001
Tehsil and Dist. : Durg (CG).

4654/06 2
P.R. No.
Presented by Shri B Pawar
dated 24/8/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. The Managing Director (SAIL),
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Ispat Bhawan, **BHILAI - 490001**
District : Durg (CG).

2. The Sr. Manager (Personnel),
(Recruitment Section)
Bhilai Steel Plant,
BHILAI – 490001
District : Durg (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE ORDER AND DIRECTIONS IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARY, MANDAMUS ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WP(S)-4614 **सन् 2006**

(20)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

30-8-2006

Heard Mr. B.P. Rao, counsel for the petitioner.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment on account of the retirement of the petitioner's father on medical ground.

The case of the petitioner is that the father of the petitioner was employed as Electrician in Maintenance Section RMP-I of Bhilai Steel Plant. Subsequently, on medical ground the father of the petitioner was declared Permanent Medical Unfit for the job by the Medical Board of Bhilai Steel Plant. Based on that, the petitioner's father was discharged from service vide order dated 21-11-1997. **Unfortunately, the father of the petitioner passed away on 21-12-2000.** The petitioner submitted a representation for compassionate appointment. Even after the lapse of 6-7 years, the respondents have not considered the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on similar ground number of persons have been appointed on compassionate appointment, whereas, the case of the petitioner is not being considered. Therefore, the respondents be directed to consider the representation of the petitioner and pass appropriate order in the matter.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and having perused the petition and the documents, the prayer made by learned counsel is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, respondent No.2 is directed to scrutinize the representation submitted by the petitioner for compassionate appointment and pass final order on the application considering the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment, in accordance with the policy of respondent No.1.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

5-9-06